

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,502	09/22/2003	Ryuji Zaiki	239198US2	6840
22850	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			CHURCH, CRAIG E	
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/665,502	ZAIKI, RYUJI			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Craig E. Church	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the I - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•—	Fhis action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) \(\times \) 5) \(\times \) 6) \(\times \) 7) \(\times \)	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.					
Application	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. Şee 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	e (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitations conveyed by "configured to" are unclear. For example, do claims 1, 16, 22 and 23 recite an x-ray source and detector or not? The structural limitations conveyed by the following are unclear:

Claim 1

operation unit configured to operate drive (no drive is claimed)

wireless communication unit configured to transmit a wireless signal releted to the drive (no drive is claimed)

drive control unit configured to control the drive (no drive is claimed)

Claim 2

attachment units configured to attach and detacg

Claim 3

state detection unit configured to detect a state of attachment

Claim 4

state detection unit is configured to detect

Claim 5

drive control unit stops the drive (no drive is claimed)

Claim 7

direction of the drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 9

communication unit is provide with respect to each the guide rail

Claim 10

related to the drive (no drive is claimed)

Application No. 10/665502 Art Unit 2882

Claim 11

configured to operate drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 12

controls the drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 13

stops the drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 14

controls the drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 15

controls the drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 16

operation unit configured to operate drive (no drive is claimed)

configured to be attached to and detached from

drive control unit configured to control the drive (no drive is claimed)

attachment unit

state detection unit configured to detect a state of attachment

Claim 18

drive control unit is configured to stop the drive (no drive is claimed)

Claim 20

direction of the drive (no drive is recited)

Claim 21

related to the drive (no drive is claimed)

Claim 22

operating the drive (no drive is claimed)

related to the drive (no drive is claimed)

controlling the drive (no drive is claimed)

Claim 23

operation unit configured to operate drive (no drive is claimed)

operation direction of the operation unit

drive direction (no drive is recited)

operation direction of an operation unit

The claims are largely written in functional language rather than in a positive recitation of the structural elements that comprise the invention. The claims fail to recite elements essential to the invention, ie the claims appear to recite a wireless transmitter (wireless communications unit), but no wireless receiver is claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ali (6801594). Ali teaches an x-ray CT scanner including a source 14 and detector 18 mounted on a rotating gantry, patient support 46 and wireless operation unt (figure 5) configured to control operation of the scanner. The meets and bounds of these claims are obscure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Application No. 10/665502 Art Unit 2882

Craig & Chirch

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882